From: McKaughan, Colleen

To: <u>PerezSullivan, Margot; Jessop, Carter</u>

Subject: RE: from Tony Davis on Rosemont and visibility Date: RE: from Tony Davis on Rosemont and visibility Date: Thursday, December 19, 2013 4:10:40 PM

EPA must have a Regional Haze page that explains this. I know all of the RH actions on our Region 9 website include some explanation. When does he need this information?

From: PerezSullivan, Margot

Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 5:07 PM **To:** McKaughan, Colleen; Jessop, Carter

Subject: Re: from Tony Davis on Rosemont and visibility

Is there a place on the web that I can point him to that woulf state this?

Margot Perez-Sullivan

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

D: 415.947.4149 C: 415.412.1115 E:perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov

From: McKaughan, Colleen

Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 7:05:40 PM

To: PerezSullivan, Margot; Jessop, Carter

Subject: RE: from Tony Davis on Rosemont and visibility

Carter,

B5

From: PerezSullivan, Margot

Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 4:29 PM

To: McKaughan, Colleen

Subject: Re: from Tony Davis on Rosemont and visibility

B5

Margot Perez-Sullivan

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

D: 415.947.4149 C: 415.412.1115 E:perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov

From: McKaughan, Colleen

Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 5:53:59 PM

To: PerezSullivan, Margot

Subject: RE: from Tony Davis on Rosemont and visibility

Hi, Margot,

I'm out on leave and I believe we are running all questions through Carter Jessop. That said, I can help respond to this one IF we are responding to press questions.

Colleen

From: PerezSullivan, Margot

Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 10:46 AM

To: McKaughan, Colleen

Subject: Fw: from Tony Davis on Rosemont and visibility

Hey Colleen,

B5

Margot Perez-Sullivan

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

D: 415.947.4149 C: 415.412.1115 E:perezsullivan.margot@epa.gov

From: Tony Davis < tdavis789@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 12:19:21 PM

To: PerezSullivan, Margot

Subject: from Tony Davis on Rosemont and visibility

Margot, Here is how Jim Upchurch of the Coronado National Forest replied to my question about Rosemont and visibility impacts in Saguaro National Park and the Galiuro Mountain wilderness. He says there are visibility standards for these Class 1 airsheds but they're not regulatory standards. Is that accurate? I am confused because I thought EPA had formal visibility standards, regulatory in nature, aimed at protecting Class 1 airsheds in national parks and wilderness areas.

Or is it that mining and mines aren't regulated under visibility standards? I'm simply seeking clarification here. Either way, if you can find me actual documentation showing that there are or are no rules protecting visibility that would affect Rosemont, I'd like to see it--e.g., language saying mines aren't covered.

UPCHURCH: There is a clean air act that has statutory requirements we have to meet. We have disclosed that the Barrel Alternative would meet clean air standards for regulations. There are additional standards that federal land managers are encouraged to meet to protect class 1

airsheds. Saguaro National Park and Galiuro Wilderness are class 1 airsheds; Our requirements are that we try to implement mitigation measures, to reduce impacts to class 1 airsheds, That's what we're attempting to do to provide mitigation to reduce impacts. To date, we have not found mitigation to reduce or eliminate to the extent possible that we need to reduce those impacts. We disclosed we can't meet those standards. They are not regulatory Clean Air Act standards, they're ones we are encouraged to meet. If we could, we would. If we can; we will. At this point, we are showing we cannot meet those.

That's it, Margot.

Thanks for your help,

Tony Davis Arizona Daily Star 520-349-0350 C 520-806-7746 O